

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
 10/779,350	02/13/2004	Steven J. McCarthy	ID-499 (80229)	9602		
27975 7.	975 7590 12/16/2005		EXAMINER			
	ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			DAFTUAR, SAKET K		
	P.O. BOX 3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ORLANDO, F	L 32802-3791		2151	<u>_</u>		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,350	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Saket K. Daftuar	2151	

	Saket K. Daftuar	2151					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading they raise new issues that would require further colors. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
,, ,	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	vo(s)	·				
	ZARNI	MAUNG -					
	SUPERVISORY P	ATENT EXAMINER	}				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant arguments are not convincing.

The examiner like to address an applicant arguments

a) An applicant argues that Hammond fails to teach an aggregation server for periodically aggregating messages.

In response to applicant arguments a), Hammond et al, U.S Patent No. 6,854,007 (hereinafter Hammond) in view of Gu et al, U.S. Patent No.6, 744,780 (hereinafter Gu) teaches an aggregation server for periodically aggregating the messages [(see column 5, lines 1-4, Hammond) and (see column 3, lines 5-55, Gu)].

Hammond discloses the claimed invention, but fails to specifically teach that said aggregation server increasing a period of sending messages to the target message box.

Gu teaches a system, wherein an aggregation server increasing a period of sending messages to the target message box [Unique polling interval based on traffic detected and adjust the polling interval according to the extent of status information (Column 3, lines 50-55)].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include the polling adjustment as being taught by Gu into Hammond's system in order to provide channel capacity over a polling system that is commensurate with the traffic.